

System size, energy, centrality and pseudorapidity dependence of charged-particle density in Au+Au and Cu+Cu collisions at RHIC

Gábor I. Veres (for the PHOBOS Collaboration)*

*Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, H-1111, Hungary
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

B.Alver, M.Ballintijn, W.Busza, M.P.Decowski, K.Gulbrandsen, C.Henderson, J.L.Kane,
P.Kulinich, W.Li, C.Loizides, C.Reed, C.Roland, G.Roland, G.S.F.Stephans, C.Vale,
G.J.van Nieuwenhuizen, S.S.Vaurynovich, R.Verdier, G.I.Veris, E.Wenger, and B.Wyslouch
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307, USA

B.B.Back

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439-4843, USA

M.D.Baker, D.S.Barton, A.Carroll, Z.Chai, N.George, M.Hauer, B.Holzman, R.Nouicer, R.Pak,
H.Seals, I.Sedykh, M.A.Stankiewicz, P.Steinberg, A.Sukhanov, A.Szostak, and S.Wyngaardt
Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000, USA

R.R.Betts, V.Chetluru, E.García, C.Halliwell, I.Harnarine,
D.J.Hofman, R.S.Hollis, A.Iordanova, J.Sagerer, and C.E.Smith
University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607-7059, USA

A.A.Bickley, R.Bindel, A.C.Mignerey, E.Richardson, M.B.Tonjes, and D.Willhelm
University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

T.Gburek, R.Hołyński, A.Olszewski, A.Trzupek, B.Wosiek, and K.Woźniak
Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN, Kraków, Poland

J.Hamblen, E.Johnson, N.Khan, S.Manly, P.Walters, and F.L.H.Wolfs
University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627, USA

C.M.Kuo and W.T.Lin
National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

Charged particle pseudorapidity distributions are presented from the PHOBOS experiment at RHIC, measured in Au+Au and Cu+Cu collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=19.6, 22.4, 62.4, 130$ and 200 GeV, as a function of collision centrality. The presentation includes the recently analyzed Cu+Cu data at 22.4 GeV. The measurements were made by the same detector setup over a broad range in pseudorapidity, $|\eta| < 5.4$, allowing for a reliable systematic study of particle production as a function of energy, centrality and system size. Comparing Cu+Cu and Au+Au results, we find that the total number of produced charged particles and the overall shape (height and width) of the pseudorapidity distributions are determined by the number of nucleon participants, N_{part} . Detailed comparisons reveal that the matching of the shape of the Cu+Cu and Au+Au pseudorapidity distributions over the full range of η is better for the same $N_{part}/2A$ value than for the same N_{part} value, where A denotes the mass number. In other words, it is the geometry of the nuclear overlap zone, rather than just the number of nucleon participants that drives the detailed shape of the pseudorapidity distribution and its centrality dependence.

I. INTRODUCTION

The charged particle pseudorapidity distribution, $dN_{ch}/d\eta$, is a well defined experimental quantity that reflects the initial conditions of the system, e.g. parton shadowing and gluon saturation, and also the effects of rescattering and hadronic final state interactions: it represents the time-integral of the particle production throughout the entire collision. With the advent of Cu+Cu collisions at RHIC, the system size dependence of important observables can be studied using different collision geometries. The Cu+Cu results [1] test the simple scaling features observed previously in Au+Au collisions [2, 3]. They significantly extend the N_{part} range measured in Au+Au collisions, while the two systems can also be compared at the same N_{part} , as illustrated below.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND DATA ANALYSIS

The Cu+Cu data were collected with the multiplicity array of the PHOBOS detector [4] during the RHIC 2005 run. The array consists of single-layered silicon sensors assembled into a long, tube-shaped Octagon detector surrounding the collision point, and into three Ring sensors on each side, detecting large- $|\eta|$ particles. Simulations of the detector performance were based on the HIJING event generator and GEANT, including the response of the scintillator Paddle trigger counters.

Data from the Cu+Cu and Au+Au collisions were analyzed using the ‘hit-counting’ and ‘analog’ methods [5]. The latter was corrected for multiply-charged fragments emitted at large η . This correction decreases with centrality and collision energy, and it is less than 6% of the total number of charged particles.

The estimated trigger efficiency is $84\pm 5\%$ and $75\pm 5\%$ in Cu+Cu collisions at 200 and 62.4 GeV. The centrality of the collision was estimated from the Paddle scintillator signals. At 22.4 and 19.6 GeV, the pathlength-corrected energy sum [3] deposited in the Octagon was used ($|\eta| < 3.2$). A Glauber-model calculation was employed to estimate $\langle N_{part} \rangle$ for each centrality bin.

III. RESULTS

The $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ distributions in Cu+Cu collisions for various collision energies and centralities are shown in Fig. 1. On the right panel the Cu+Cu and Au+Au collisions are compared, where the centrality bins are chosen such that $\langle N_{part} \rangle$ in both systems are similar. One can conclude that although the distributions agree at the same $\langle N_{part} \rangle$ to first order, there are differences at large $|\eta|$ and low energies. In this context, we note that the two nuclear spectator remnants are larger in Au+Au than in Cu+Cu collisions and thus may be playing a role.

The $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ distributions exhibit longitudinal scaling when observed from the rest frame of one of the colliding nuclei. The coordinate transformation to the ‘target’ frame approximately corresponds to a shift by the beam rapidity, y_{beam} . Figure 2 compares the $dN_{ch}/d\eta'$ distributions (where $\eta' = \eta - y_{beam}$) after normalization by N_{part} : a) data from the Cu+Cu and Au+Au systems plotted at the same fraction of the total cross section (0-6% most central bin), and b) at the same value of $N_{part}/2A$ (where A is the mass number). Both cases indicate that the scaled particle density only depends on the collision energy and geometry, but not on the size of the nuclei. The $dN_{ch}/d\eta' / \langle N_{part} \rangle$ distributions for the same centrality in both systems agree within errors, and the overall agreement improves if the centralities are compared on the basis of the $N_{part}/2A$ quantity

*Electronic address: vg@ludens.elte.hu




FIG. 1: Left panel: pseudorapidity distributions of primary charged particles from Cu+Cu collisions at 22.4, 62.4 and 200 GeV collision energy per nucleon pair for various centrality bins. Right panel: comparison of $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ distributions in Cu+Cu and Au+Au collisions - corresponding to the same $\langle N_{part} \rangle$. 90% C.L. systematic errors are shown as bands.

(the fraction of participating nucleons). The longitudinal scaling is similarly present in the Cu+Cu and in the Au+Au data.

The factorization between collision energy and centrality can be most precisely studied by examining the ratios of the $dN/d\eta'/\langle N_{part} \rangle$ distributions in central and semi-central collisions, denoted by $R_{PC}^{N_{part}}$, at various energies. The published Au+Au results [6] are shown by the inset of Fig. 3a, exhibiting the same factorization feature as the recent Cu+Cu data. The above ratio for Cu+Cu data is similar to that in Au+Au data, except at the highest η' values. Fig. 3b shows the R_{PC} ratio for Cu+Cu and Au+Au for centrality bins where the $N_{part}/2A$ values are matched. The latter quantity characterizes the initial geometry more precisely, and indeed, the centrality evolution of the $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ distributions measured in Cu+Cu and Au+Au collisions are most similar if the centrality is quantified by $N_{part}/2A$.

The total number of charged particles, N_{tot} , normalized by N_{part} , is presented in Fig. 4 for Cu+Cu collisions at 22.4, 62.4 and 200 GeV collision energy per nucleon pair, and compared to smaller (p+p, d+Au) and larger (Au+Au) systems as a function of centrality. One can conclude that N_{tot} scales approximately linearly with N_{part} , and the normalized yield has similar values for the two heavy colliding systems. The d+Au data do not seem to interpolate smoothly between the p+p and heavy ion data points.




FIG. 2: Pseudorapidity distributions in Cu+Cu and Au+Au collisions at various RHIC energies, normalized by the number of participant pairs, plotted as a function of $\eta' = \eta - y_{beam}$, for a) the 6% most central events and b) central events with similar $N_{part}/2A$. 90% C.L. systematic errors are shown for a few typical data points.




FIG. 3: The semi-peripheral to central $dN_{ch}/d\eta' / \langle N_{part}/2 \rangle$ ratio for Cu+Cu and Au+Au collisions at RHIC energies. The centrality bins are selected a) according to fractional cross section (35-40%/0-6%) and b) such that $N_{part}/2A$ is matched between the two systems. Inset: the same quantity plotted for Au+Au data for four different energies. The error bars represent 90% C.L. systematic errors on the ratio.

IV. SUMMARY

Charged particle η distributions were presented, including the recently analysed Cu+Cu data taken at various collision energies. The $dN/d\eta'$ distributions scaled by $N_{part}/2$, as well as their peripheral to central ratio were found to be independent of the mass number, A , of the colliding nuclei if centrality classes with the same $N_{part}/2A$ (fraction of participant nucleons) are compared.

Acknowledgements: This work was partially supported by U.S. DOE grants DE-AC02-98CH10886, DE-FG02-93ER40802, DE-FG02-94ER40818, DE-FG02-94ER40865, DE-FG02-




FIG. 4: The integrated number of charged particles, scaled by $N_{part}/2$, in p+p, d+Au, Cu+Cu and Au+Au collisions as a function of centrality [6, 7]. The uncertainty of N_{part} has been included in the error bars.

99ER41099, and DE-AC02-06CH11357, by U.S. NSF grants 9603486, 0072204 and 0245011, by Polish MNiSW grant N N02 282234 (2008-2010), by NSC of Taiwan Contract NSC 89-2112-M-008-024, by Hungarian grants OTKA F49823, NKTH-OTKA H07-C 74248 and by the Magyary Postdoctoral Fellowship.

References

- [1] B. Alver et al., e-print arXiv:0709.4008.
- [2] I. G. Bearden et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 202301 (2002).
- [3] B. B. Back et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 052303 (2003).
- [4] B. B. Back et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 499, 603 (2003).
- [5] B. B. Back et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 102303 (2001).
- [6] B. B. Back et al., Phys. Rev. C 74, 021901 (2006).
- [7] B. B. Back et al., Phys. Rev. C 72, 031901(R) (2005).